

Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

Bill #	II # HB0294			Title:	Revise na	atural gas laws		
Primary Sponsor:	MacLaren, Gary			Status:	As Introd	luced		
☐ Significant Local Gov Impact☐ Included in the Executive Budget		⊻	Needs to be included in HB 2 Significant Long-Term Impacts			Technical Concerns Dedicated Revenue Form Attached		
			FISCAL S	SUMMAH	RY			
.			FY 2010 Difference	FY 20 <u>Differ</u>		FY 2012 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2013 <u>Difference</u>	
Expenditures: General Fund State Special Revenue			\$0 \$60,500		\$0 \$0	\$0 \$60,000		60 60

Description of fiscal impact:

Net Impact-General Fund Balance:

HB 294 provides that a natural gas utility that has restructured pursuant to the Natural Gas Utility Restructuring and Customer Choice Act and that does not own natural gas production and gathering resources may acquire those facilities and may apply for Public Service Commission (PSC) approval to rate-base them prior to their acquisition. The PSC must adopt rules prescribing minimum filing requirements for applications submitted pursuant to this bill by March 31, 2010.

\$0

\$0

\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

Revenue:

General Fund

State Special Revenue

- 1. One utility application for approval of a natural gas resource acquisition will be filed with the PSC in the biennium.
- 2. Analyzing and processing the resource acquisition application and the PSC rulemaking required by HB 294 will require the hiring of a rate analyst and attorney or contracting for these services. For the purposes of this fiscal note it is estimated that \$60,000 would be needed each biennia for this analysis and processing.

\$0

\$0

\$0

- 3. There are a number of bills with similar requirements of the PSC under consideration by the legislature. Depending upon which bills are passed and signed into law, additional FTE may be more cost effective rather than contracting for these services.
- 4. The operational costs of the PSC rulemaking to adopt minimum filing requirements are estimated to be \$500 (10 total pages published in the Administrative Register at \$50 per page).

	FY 2010 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2011 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2012 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2013 <u>Difference</u>
Fiscal Impact:				
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Expenditures:				
Personal Services	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Operating Expenses	\$60,500	\$0	\$60,000	\$0
Equipment	\$0	\$0_	\$0	\$0_
TOTAL Expenditures	\$60,500	\$0	\$60,000	\$0
Funding of Expenditures:				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	\$60,500	\$0	\$60,000	\$0
TOTAL Funding of Exp.	\$60,500	\$0	\$60,000	\$0
Revenues:				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Fu	nding of Expendit	ures):	
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	(\$60,500)	\$0	(\$60,000)	\$0

Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date	